

# Outline

The Unknown  $\sigma$  Model

The  $\chi^2$  Distribution

Conjugate Prior for Normal  $\sigma$

The Likelihood

The Posterior

Ball-parking the Prior

Prediction

# The Unknown $\sigma$ Model

The model is

$$Y_i = \mu + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We will assume we know  $\mu$  and want inference for  $\sigma$ .

Given we know  $\mu$ , we act as if we observe the errors

$$Y_i - \mu = \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

# The $\chi^2$ Distribution

Recall the  $\chi^2$  distribution.

If  $Z_i \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ , then

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} Z_i^2 \sim \chi_{\nu}^2.$$

$$E(X) = \nu.$$

The pdf of  $X$  is

$$f(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} x^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}.$$

## Conjugate Prior for Normal $\sigma$

A prior for a standard deviation (or, equivalently, the variance) we will use a lot is

$$\sigma^2 \sim \frac{\nu \lambda}{\chi_\nu^2}.$$

This is called an inverse- $\chi^2$  distribution for obvious reasons.

Lot's of people work with  $\sigma^2$  as the variable but I prefer to work with  $\sigma$ . I don't like having a variable " $x^2$ " and  $\sigma$  is actually the more interpretable quantity.

I need the pdf of  $\sigma$ .

$$\sigma^2 \sim \frac{\nu \lambda}{X}, \quad X \sim \chi_\nu^2.$$

$$x = \frac{\nu \lambda}{\sigma^2}, \quad \left| \frac{dx}{d\sigma} \right| = \frac{2\nu \lambda}{\sigma^3}.$$

$$p(\sigma) = p_X(x(\sigma)) \times \left| \frac{dx}{d\sigma} \right| =$$

$$\begin{aligned} p(\sigma) &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\nu \lambda}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{\nu \lambda}{2\sigma^2}} \times \frac{2\nu \lambda}{\sigma^3} \\ &= \frac{(\nu \lambda)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{2^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \sigma^{-(\nu+1)} e^{-\frac{\nu \lambda}{2\sigma^2}}. \end{aligned}$$



# The Likelihood

$$p(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n \mid \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1} e^{-\frac{\epsilon_i^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2$ .

$$L(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{S}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

# The Posterior

$$p(\sigma \mid \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n) = p(\sigma \mid S)$$

$$\propto L(\sigma) \times p(\sigma)$$

$$\propto \sigma^{-n} e^{-\frac{S}{2\sigma^2}} \times \sigma^{-(\nu+1)} e^{-\frac{\nu\lambda}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= \sigma^{-(\nu+n+1)} e^{-\frac{\nu\lambda+S}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Let

$$\nu' = \nu + n, \quad \nu' \lambda' = \nu \lambda + S.$$

then,

$$\sigma^2 \mid \text{data} \sim \frac{\nu' \lambda'}{\chi_{\nu'}^2}.$$

So, the prior is indeed conjugate with remarkably simple updates for the parameters  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$ .

## Ball-parking the Prior

Since  $E(\chi_\nu^2) = \nu$ , for large  $\nu$  we have

$$\sigma^2 \sim \frac{\nu \lambda}{\chi_\nu^2} \approx \lambda.$$

Large  $\nu$  means a “tight” prior.

So, you can roughly pick the prior by choosing  $\sqrt{\lambda}$  to be your “guess” at  $\sigma$  and  $\nu$  “small enough” to capture your uncertainty.

# Prediction

To predict the next  $\epsilon$ , we need to compute

$$p(\epsilon \mid \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$$

$$= \int p(\epsilon, \sigma \mid \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n) d\sigma$$

$$= \int p(\epsilon \mid \sigma, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n) p(\sigma \mid \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n) d\sigma$$

$$= \int p(\epsilon \mid \sigma) p(\sigma \mid S) d\sigma.$$

Let's just use  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  to denote the distribution of  $\sigma$  since we are using the conjugate prior.

$$\propto \int \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\epsilon^2} \right) \left( \sigma^{-(\nu+1)} e^{-\frac{\nu\lambda}{2\sigma^2}} \right) d\sigma$$

$$\propto \int \sigma^{-(\nu+1+1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\epsilon^2+\nu\lambda)} d\sigma$$

$$\propto (\nu\lambda + \epsilon^2)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

$$\propto \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\nu} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^2 \right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

Now note:

(i)

If  $X$  has a t distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom then

$$X \sim t_\nu \Rightarrow f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}$$

(ii)

If  $Y = cX$  then

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{c} f_X\left(\frac{y}{c}\right).$$

Hence

$$\epsilon/\sqrt{\lambda} \sim t_\nu, \text{ or, } \epsilon = \sqrt{\lambda} t_\nu.$$



An alternative derivation:

$$\epsilon = \sigma Z, \quad Z \sim N(0, 1), \quad \sigma^2 = \frac{\nu\lambda}{\chi_\nu^2}.$$

so,

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{\lambda} \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\chi_\nu^2/\nu}} \sim \sqrt{\lambda} t_\nu.$$

An alternative computation:

Suppose we have  $p(\theta)$  that we can draw from and  $p(y | \theta)$  that we can draw from.

We can always draw from the marginal distribution of  $Y$  by drawing  $(\theta, y)$  from the joint and then discarding  $\theta$ .

We can draw from the joint by drawing  $\theta \sim p(\theta)$  and then  $y \sim p(y | \theta)$ .

In this case we draw  $\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\nu\lambda}{\chi^2_\nu}}$  and then  $\epsilon = \sigma Z$ ,  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ .

You might notice your are drawing a t, or, you might not!